U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Technical Information Service

PB-289 879

Particulate Measurement Dilution Tunnel Stabilization

(U.S.) Environmental Protection Agency, Ann Arbor, MI

Nov 78

LTDP - 78 - 14

PB 289879

Technical Report

November, 1978

Particulate Measurement - Dilution Tunnel Stablization

by

Eugene Danielson

NOTICE

Technical reports do not necessarily represent final EPA decisions or positions. They are intended to present technical analysis of isues using data which are currently available. The purpose in the release of such reports is to facilitate the exchange of technical information and to inform the public of technical developments which may form the basis for a final EPA decision, position or regulatory action.

Standards Development and Support Branch
Emission Control Technology Division
Office of Mobile Source Air Pollution Control
Office of Air and Waste Management
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

REPRODUCED BY
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA
(Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)

1. REPORT NO.	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO. PB289879
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Particulate Measurement - Dilution Tunnel Stabilization		5. REPORT DATE November, 1978 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE
7. AUTHOR(S) Eugene Danielson		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO. LTDP-78-14
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Standards Development and Support Branch Emission Control Technology Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Same as box 12.		13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Technical Support Report 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE 200/05

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

16. ABSTRACT

Particulate testing was conducted to determine the number of hot-start UDDS (LA-4) cycles that must be driven to stabilize a clean 18-inch diameter dilution tunnel. The results of this investigation indicate that valid particulate measurements can be obtained with a clean dilution tunnel. If particulate material is being lost to the dilution tunnel walls it is minimal and not significant compared to normal test variability.

17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

a. DESCRIPTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
Exhaust gases	Emissions	

18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	19. SECURITY CLASS *(This Report)*	21. NO. OF PAGES
Release Unlimited	UNCLASSIFIED	6
	20. SECURITY CLASS *(This page)* UNCLASSIFIED	22. PRICE PC A02 MF A01

EPA Form 2220-1 (Rev. 4-77) PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE

Summary

Particulate testing was conducted to determine the number of hot-start UDDS (LA-4) cycles that must be driven to stabilize a clean 18-inch diameter dilution tunnel. The results of this investigation indicate that valid particulate measurments can be obtained with a clean dilution tunnel; i.e., essentially no LA-4 cycles are required to stabilize the dilution tunnel with respect to particulate material. This conclusion is based on the observation that slightly higher than average particulate levels (taken with stabilized tunnel) were measured during the first (actually first two) LA-4 test after tunnel cleaning. If particulate material is being lost to the dilution tunnel walls it is minimal and not significant compared to normal test variability.

Test Procedure

This test program was designed to quantify the number of hot-start UDDS cycles required to stabilize a clean 18-inch dilution tunnel with respect to particulate material. A 1975 EPA owned Mercedes 300 D was selected for this evaluation primarily because: (1) this vehicle had a well established UDDS baseline (standard deviation of + 5.1 %) from which particulate stabiliation could be measured; and (2) its level of particulate production is representative of the majority of light-duty diesel vehicles currently being manufactured.

Initial preparation of the study required that the dilution tunnel be cleaned. This was accomplished by disassembling the tunnel and washing each section. After cleaning and reassembling the tunnel, particulate measurements began.

The procedure used to measure the particulate emissions was similar to the procedure specified in the "Draft Recommended Practice for Measurement of Gaseous and Particulate Emissions from Light-Duty Diesel Vehicles" March 1978. Therefore, only highlights of this procedure will be indicated.

Particulate measurements were made by isokinetically removing a sample of dilute exhaust from the 18-inch diameter dilution tunnel, and then passing the sample flow through a 47 mm fluorocarbon coated glass fiber filter. The weight of particulate material collected is considered to be the net weight difference between the clean filter (stabilized with respect to humidity) and the loaded filter (also stabilized).

Discussion of Results

The attached graph, Figure 1, presents the individual UDDS particulate measurement results of this study (presented in the order taken) along with a summary of the baseline level of particulate

emissions (measured with a stabilized tunnel). Theoretically, if substantial tunnel deposition were taking place during the stabilization process, each successive particulate measurement taken after tunnel cleaning would be slightly higher than the previous measurement, with the limiting value being equal to the stabilized tunnel average. However, a comparison of the individual data points to the previously established hot-start UDDS particulate baseline indicates that the level of measured particulate material for the first hot-start test was slightly higher than the baseline. Further testing did not indicate any trend toward substantial increases in measured particulates.

Although the average particulate level from the seven tests performed after the tunnel was cleaned was 4.8 percent higher than the previous baseline, the increased level was within the normal test variation experienced with this vehicle. No significant difference in measured hydrocarbon was observed after the tunnel was cleaned.

It is concluded from these data that no preconditioning is necessary to stabilize the dilution tunnel with respect to particulate material. Hence, as stated in the Summary, it is also concluded that the amount of particulate material lost to dilution tunnel walls is very small (i.e., within measurement capabilities), and therefore valid particulate measurements can be made with a clean dilution tunnel.

Figure 1 - Dilution Tunnel Stabilization
18-Inch Tunnel
CVS Flow Rate 535 SCFM
Mercedes 300D, 4000 #